



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/487,401 .	01/19/2000	John R. Shedden	ST9-99-033	3119	
75	590 12/17/2003		EXAMINER		
David N Koffs	David N Koffsky Esq			FLEURANTIN, JEAN B	
Ohlandt Greele	y Ruggiero & Perle				
One Landmark Square Suite 903			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Stamford, CT	06901		2172	16	
		·	DATE MAILED: 12/17/2003	$\iota^{\mathcal{L}}$	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

			2
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
•	09/487,401	SHEDDEN, JOHN	I R.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jean B Fleurantin	2172	
The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence ad	dress
Period for Reply		AONTH/S) EDOM	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, in the priod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by set any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mearmed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	DN. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may and a reply within the statutory minimum of the eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC tatute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered time! NTHS from the mailing date of this co BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. ommunication.
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	22 <u>September 2003</u> .		·
<u> </u>	This action is non-final.	,	
Since this application is in condition for alloclosed in accordance with the practice uncondition.	owance except for formal ma der <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.	tters, prosecution as to the D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	merits is
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the applica	ition.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	ndrawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	nd/or election requirement		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction at	nd/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exar		by the Eveniner	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co			FR 1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	reign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	anta haya baan rasaiyad		
1. Certified copies of the priority docun2. Certified copies of the priority docun		Application No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the	priority documents have bee		Stage
application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a		t received.	
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for don	nestic priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(e) (to a provisiona	l application)
since a specific reference was included in th 37 CFR 1.78.	e first sentence of the specifi	cation or in an Application	Data Sheet.
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language	e provisional application has	been received.	
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom reference was included in the first sentence	nestic priority under 35 U.S.C of the specification or in an A	. §§ 120 and/or 121 since application Data Sheet. 37	a specific CFR 1.78.
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No. 	· =	Informal Patent Application (PTC .	J-152)

Art Unit: 2172

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Claims 1-18 remain pending for examination.

Response to Applicant' Remarks

2. Applicant's arguments, see pages 6-8, filed on September 22, 2003 with respect to the rejection (s) of claims 1-18 under U.S.C. 103(a) have been considered and are moot in view of the reinterpretation of the prior art of record.

During patent examination, the pending claims must be "given *>their< broadest reasonable interpretation consistent with the specification." > In re Hyatt, 211 F.3d 1367, 1372, 54 USPQ2d 1664, 1667 (Fed. Cir. 2000).< Applicant always has the opportunity to amend the claims during prosecution, and broad interpretation by the examiner reduces the possibility that the claim, once issued, will be interpreted morebroadly than is justified. In re Prater, 415 F.2d 1393, 1404-05, 162 USPQ 541, 550-51 (CCPA 1969). The broadest reasonable interpretation of the claims must also be consistent with the interpretation that those skilled in the art would reach. In re Cortright, 165 F.3d 1353, 1359, 49 USPQ2d 1464, 1468 (Fed. Cir. 1999).

Specification

Claim Objections

3. Claim 13 is objected to because of the following informalities: line 10, "value<u>value</u>,". Appropriate correction is required.



Art Unit: 2172

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,832,515 issued to Ledain et al. ("hereinafter Ledain").

As per claims 1 and 7, Ledain discloses, "a method for enabling improved access to data <u>form</u> a computer memory system <u>during a data recovery operation</u>" (see col. 8, lines 15-19), "said computer memory system having <u>said data in a first</u> log (see figure 2, element 44), and <u>copy of said data in a second log</u>," (see figure 2, element 52) and (cols. 9-10, lines 61-5), the method comprising the steps of:

"responding to a process request to read <u>said data from said first</u> log" (see figure 2, element 44), by determining a parameter indicative of demand for access to read said <u>first</u> log" as at least data that is to be read from selected filesystem nominally maintained on the main filesystem disk 40 is routed through the log device pseudo deice driver 44, (see col. 9, lines 19-22);

"wherein said process is one of a plurality of processes concurrently attempting to read said first log during said data recovery operation" as the log device disk 52 will be co-dependent on the concurrent use of the read data path from the log device disk 52 through the log device



Art Unit: 2172

pseudo device driver 44 to the operating system core, (see col. 9, lines 45-50), and column 5, lines 23-26.

Ledain does not explicitly disclose assigning the process to read said copy of said data from said second log if said parameter has reached a threshold value. However, it is well known in the art, that assigning the process to read said copy of said data from said second log if said parameter has reached a threshold value. On the other hand, Ledain discloses once a log disk has reached the filed segment threshold, in which the head of the logical log wraps to the next log disk in sequence, (see col. 29, lines 57-60), and column 30, lines 19-24. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify the teachings of Ledain with steps of assigning the process to read said copy of said data from said second log if said parameter has reached a threshold value. Such modification would allow the teachings of Ledain to improve the accuracy and the reliability of the active log read I/O balancing for log duplexing, and to provide efficient storage and retrieval of data with respect to an operating system executing on a computer system to the data storage system, (see col. 5, lines 38-40).

As per claims 2, 8 and 14, Ledain discloses, "wherein said <u>first</u> log is primary <u>a</u> log" as a log device peudo-device driver 44 is provided in connection with the operating system core 32 and main disk device driver 34, (see col. 9, lines 10-13).

As per claims 3, 9 and 15, Ledain discloses, "wherein said parameter is a count of <u>said</u> plurality of processes assigned to a primary log" as once a log disk has reached the filled



Art Unit: 2172

segment threshold, in which the head of the logical log wraps to the next log disk in sequence, (see col. 29, lines 57-59).

As per claims 4, 10 and 16, in addition to the discussion in claim 1, Ledain further discloses the step of b) "distributes new process assignments to both the <u>said first</u> log and <u>said second</u> log in an attempt to balance work of the <u>said first and second</u> logs" as the balance of the current data segment may be filled with new data blocks written through the data interface 66 or as a result of cleaning the new log tail data segment, where data blocks are actively being directed through the data interface 66 for storage on the log device, in which the compacted data blocks obtained from the prior log tail data segment may be mixed in order of receipt by the segment 1/O routine 78 into the current segment buffer maintained by the segment 1/O routines 78, (col. 17-18, lines 65-6).

As per claims 5, 11 and 17, in addition to the discussion in claim 1, Ledain further discloses b) "alternates new process assignments to <u>said first</u> log and the <u>said second</u> log in an attempt to balance work of <u>said first and second</u> logs" as the balance of the current data segment may be filled with new data blocks written through the data interface 66 or as a result of cleaning the new log tail data segment, where data blocks are actively being directed through the data interface 66 for storage on the log device, the compacted data blocks obtained from the prior log tail data segment may be mixed in order of receipt by the segment 1/O routine 78 into the current segment buffer maintained by the segment 1/O routines 78, (see cols. 17-18, lines 65-6).



Art Unit: 2172

As per claims 6, 12 and 18, Ledain discloses, "wherein said parameter is a count of requests that have been queued to <u>said first</u> log" as once a log disk has reached the filled segment threshold, the head of the logical log wraps to the next log disk in sequence, (see col. 29, lines 57-59).

As per claim 13, Ledain discloses, "a computer system that enables improved access to data <u>form</u> a memory system <u>during a data recovery operation</u>" (see col. 8, lines 15-19), "said memory system having <u>said data in a first</u> log (see figure 2, element 44), and a <u>copy of said data in a second log</u>," (see figure 2, element 52) and (cols. 9-10, lines 61-5), the method comprising the steps of:

"means for determining a parameter indicative of demand to read <u>said first</u> log" (see figure 2, element 44), by determining a parameter indicative of demand for access to read said <u>first</u> log" as at least data that is to be read from selected filesystem nominally maintained on the main filesystem disk 40 is routed through the log device pseudo deice driver 44, (see col. 9, lines 19-22);

"wherein said process is one of a plurality of processes concurrently attempting to read said first log during said data recovery operation" as the log device disk 52 will be co-dependent on the concurrent use of the read data path from the log device disk 52 through the log device pseudo device driver 44 to the operating system core, (see col. 9, lines 45-50), and column 5, lines 23-26.





Art Unit: 2172

Ledain does not explicitly disclose logging means responsive to a process request to read said data from said second log if said parameter has reached a threshold value. However, it is well known in the art, that assigning the process to read said copy of said data from said second log if said parameter has reached a threshold value. On the other hand, Ledain discloses once a log disk has reached the filed segment threshold, in which the head of the logical log wraps to the next log disk in sequence, (see col. 29, lines 57-60), and column 30, lines 19-24. Ledain discloses. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify logging means responsive to a process request to read said data from said second log if said parameter has reached a threshold value. Such modification would allow the teachings of Ledain to improve the accuracy and the reliability of the active log read I/O balancing for log duplexing, and to provide efficient storage and retrieval of data with respect to an operating system executing on a computer system to the data storage system, (see col. 5, lines 38-40).



Art Unit: 2172

Contact Information

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication from examiner should be directed to Jean Bolte Fleurantin at (703) 308-6718. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 7:30 A.M. to 6:00 P.M.

If any attempt to reach the examiner by telephone is unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. BREENE JOHN E can be reached at (703) 305-9790. The FAX phone numbers for the Group 2100 Customer Service Center are: *After Final* (703) 746-7238, *Official* (703) 746-7239, and *Non-Official* (703) 746-7240. NOTE: Documents transmitted by facsimile will be entered as official documents on the file wrapper unless clearly marked "*DRAFT*".

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group 2100 Customer Service Center receptionist whose telephone numbers are (703) 306-5631, (703) 306-5632, (703) 306-5633.

Jean Bolte Fleurantin

2003-12-08